The Environmental Protection Agency released a much-anticipated proposed rule that would limit the emissions of CO₂ by new coal- and natural gas-fired power plants, or electric utility generating units (EGUs). This proposal is one of many regulatory actions being undertaken by the Obama administration to curb carbon emissions, and is the first uniform federal limit on CO₂ production for new power plants. However, EPA’s analysis suggests that the proposed rule doesn’t exert any meaningful requirement on emissions from new power plants: “the proposed EGU New Source GHG [greenhouse gas] Standards are not expected to change GHG emissions for newly constructed EGUs, and are anticipated to yield no monetized benefits and impose negligible costs, economic impacts, or energy impacts on the electricity sector or society.” According to the agency’s analysis, EPA additionally presumes that any costs incurred by power plants will be at least partially recovered through sale of captured carbon.

Unlike in its April 2012 proposal, EPA is now proposing different emission standards for coal-fired and natural gas-fired power plants (all standards are in pounds of CO₂ per megawatt-hour, lb/MWh). For new coal-fired plants, EPA is proposing a limit of 1,100 lbs CO₂/MWh over a 12-operating month period, while also giving plants the option to elect for a limit of 1,000-1,050 lbs CO₂/MWh over an 84-operating month (7-year) period. Natural gas-fired plants larger than 850 mmBtu/hr (million British thermal units per hour) must meet a standard of 1,000 lbs CO₂/MWh, while natural gas-fired plants smaller than 850 mmBtu/hr are held to a standard of 1,100 lbs CO₂/MWh. (Read our analysis here.)
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The Food and Drug Administration published a final rule establishing a system through which medical devices can be uniquely identified during distribution and use. “This rule requires the label of medical devices to include a unique device identifier (UDI), except where the rule provides for an exception or alternative placement. The labeler must submit product information concerning devices to FDA’s Global Unique Device Identification Database (GUDID), unless subject to an exception or alternative. The system established by this rule requires the label and device package of each medical device to include a UDI and requires that each UDI be provided in a plain-text version and in a form that uses automatic identification and data capture (AIDC) technology. The UDI will be required to be directly marked on the device itself if the device is intended to be used more than once and intended to be reprocessed before each use.” FDA’s analysis suggests that this rule will entail between $48.8 billion and $10.3 billion in costs.
million and $122.5 million in annualized costs, with no monetized benefits. The qualitative benefit of the UDI standard is “More accurate and prompt identification of device related adverse events should lead to more rapid action to reduce the incidence of the adverse events and to more effectively target and manage medical device recalls.”

Consumer Product Safety Commission
CPSC Seeks Oral Comments on Proposal to Ban High-Powered Magnet Desk Toys
The Consumer Product Safety Commission is seeking oral comments on its September, 2012 proposed rule, Safety Standard for Magnet Sets. The proposed rule would ban sets of small, high-powered magnets marketed to adults as desk toys due to the Commission’s preliminary determination that there may be an unreasonable risk of injury from children ingesting the high-powered magnets. CPSC will host a meeting on October 22nd, during which members of the public can verbally present their comments on the rulemaking to the Commission for inclusion in the rulemaking docket.

From the Commission’s original proposal: “To address the unreasonable risks of serious injury associated with these magnet sets, the Commission is issuing this notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR), which would prohibit such magnet sets. Under the proposal, if a magnet set contains a magnet that fits within the CPSC’s small parts cylinder, magnets from that set would be required to have a flux index of 50 or less, or they would be prohibited.” An estimate of net injury reduction comprises the entirety of the Commission’s $25 million in anticipated benefits, while the costs of foregone profit are estimated at $7.5 million. However, the Commission does not calculate the value to consumers of the products this rule proposes to ban: “We have no information regarding aggregate consumer surplus, and hence, the amount of utility that would be lost from a ban of magnetic sets. While the magnetic desk sets clearly provide “utility” to purchasers, they are not necessities. Consequently, the demand for magnetic desk sets is probably not price inelastic, a factor that would tend to reduce estimates of utility losses.”

Environmental Protection Agency
EPA Finalizes Amendments to Oil and Natural Gas Sector New Source Performance Standards
The Environmental Protection Agency published a final rule finalizing the amendments to EPA’s previously-published new source performance standards (NSPS) for the oil and natural gas sectors. These amendments are in response to petitions received by EPA to reconsider its rule. “These changes are the result of the EPA's consideration of the many substantive and thoughtful comments submitted on the proposal and other information received since proposal. We believe that the changes we have made sufficiently address concerns expressed by commenters and improve the clarity of the rule while improving or preserving public health and environmental protection required under the CAA [Clean Air Act].”

Department of Health and Human Services
HHS Proposes Rule Establishing Basic Health Program to Provide Coverage for Low-Income Individuals
The Department of Health and Human Services published a proposed rule establishing the Basic Health Program, as mandated by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. “The Basic Health Program provides states the flexibility to establish a health benefits coverage program for low-income individuals who would otherwise be eligible to purchase coverage through the state's Affordable Insurance Exchange (Exchange, also called a Health Insurance Marketplace). The Basic Health Program would complement and coordinate with enrollment in a QHP through the Exchange, as well as with enrollment in Medicaid and the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). This proposed rule sets forth a framework for Basic Health Program eligibility and enrollment, benefits, delivery of health care services, transfer of funds to participating states, and federal oversight. Additionally, this rule would amend other rules issued by the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (Secretary) in order to clarify the applicability of those rules to the Basic Health Program.” Comments are due on November 25th.
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Food and Drug Administration
FDA Releases Guidance for Industry on FDA’s Regulatory Authority Over Mobile Medical Apps
The Food and Drug Administration published a guidance for industry on how FDA will exercise its regulatory authority over mobile medical apps. “Given the rapid expansion and broad applicability of mobile apps, the FDA is issuing this guidance document to clarify the subset of mobile apps to which the FDA intends to apply its authority.
Many mobile apps are not medical devices (meaning such mobile apps do not meet the definition of a device under section 201(h) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act)), and FDA does not regulate them. Some mobile apps may meet the definition of a medical device but because they pose a lower risk to the public, FDA intends to exercise enforcement discretion over these devices (meaning it will not enforce requirements under the FD&C Act). The majority of mobile apps on the market at this time fit into these two categories. Consistent with the FDA's existing oversight approach that considers functionality rather than platform, the FDA intends to apply its regulatory oversight to only those mobile apps that are medical devices and whose functionality could pose a risk to a patient's safety if the mobile app were to not function as intended. This subset of mobile apps the FDA refers to as mobile medical apps. FDA is issuing this guidance to provide clarity and predictability for manufacturers of mobile medical apps.” FDA is accepting comments on this guidance.

Environmental Protection Agency

EPA Announces Public Meeting, Webinars on Proposed Water Quality Standards Regulatory Clarifications

The Environmental Protection Agency announced a public meeting on October 23rd and two public webinars on September 24th and November 14th on the Agency’s September 4th proposed rule, Water Quality Standards Regulatory Clarifications. “The proposed rule addresses the following key program areas: Administrator's determinations that new or revised WQS are necessary, designated uses, triennial reviews, antidegradation, variances to WQS, and compliance schedule authorizing provisions. Once final, the proposed rule will lead to improved water quality standard development, implementation and compliance as well as improving the ability of water systems to adapt and respond to the impacts of climate change. EPA will discuss the contents of the proposed rule during the webinars and meeting and will provide the opportunity for interested parties to ask clarifying questions prior to the close of the comment period. These clarifying questions or any public views expressed during the webinars and meeting will not be considered formal comments and will not be recorded for inclusion in the official administrative record.”
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